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Move fast and break things

“As developers, moving quickly was so important, we would even tolerate a few bugs to do it” – Mark Zuckerberg

“Our highest priority is to satisfy the customer through early and continuous delivery of valuable software.” – Agile Manifesto

• Functionality represents direct business value
• “Break things” → lower priority to work with indirect business value
We moved fast, now things are broken…

79% of CIOs interviewed worldwide by CGI indicate that their ability to change is slowed significantly by technology and agility constraints*. Technical debt is causing real economic, societal and ethical problems.

Possible causes:
- KPIs (Key Performance Indicators) prioritizing short-term success over long-term investments
- Overinflated stakeholder expectations (created by short-term velocity that cannot be kept up)
- Cargo cult: mimicking Internet giants’ methods without considering specific context
- Misapplication of agile practices, e.g.:
  - WSJF (Weighted Shorted Job First) prioritization mistakenly applied to enablers
  - MVP (Minimum Viable Product) used as architectural basis (edge cases will get you!)

*CGI’s Global Insights, interviews with more than 1,400 executives across CGI’s major regions and the 10 industries we serve
Cargo cult

Mimicking practices that led to desirable results for others, without fully understanding the underlying mechanisms or realizing the difference in context with your own situation.

*In attempts to get cargo to fall by parachute or land in planes or ships again, islanders imitated the same practices they had seen the soldiers, sailors, and airmen use... In a form of sympathetic magic, many built life-size replicas of airplanes out of straw and cut new military-style landing strips out of the jungle, hoping to attract more airplanes.*

*(Wikipedia)*
Architecture responsibilities

- Architecture as an abstraction
  Shaw, Garlan

- Architecture as a set of structures
  SEI, Kruchten, Rozanski & Woods

- Architecture as a risk and cost management discipline
  Fairbanks, Poort

Understanding Context

- Architecture as a set of design decisions
  Tyree, Bosch, Kruchten, Woods

Making Decisions

Modeling

Validating

Delivery
Architecture responsibilities

- Understanding Context
- Modeling
- Making Decisions
- Validating
- Delivery

Prerequisite
Primary deliverable
Purpose
Means
Architecture maturity

An organization’s architecture function is mature if:

• It pays balanced attention to all five responsibilities
• Activities in the five responsibility areas are coherent and related to each other

Excessive technical debt is usually a sign of an imbalanced architecture function, leading to an unsustainable pace of development.
Combining Architecture with Agile working
Conflicting paradigms?

Too much architecture leads to…
• Late business value delivery?
• Trouble responding to change?
• Slow learning from experience?
• Wasted design effort?

Too much agile practice leads to…
• Ill-considered, inconsistent choices?
• Re-inventing the wheel?
• Technical debt accumulation?
• Short-lived solutions?
The Waterfall Wasteland

"We don’t take decisions, we only advise management"

"Our design was perfect, but the builders were incompetent"
### The Agile Outback

- **Understanding Context**
- **Making Decisions**
- **Delivery**
- **Validating**
- **Modeling**

- "The best architectures emerge"
- "Fail early and fail often"

---
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Risk and Cost Driven Architecture
Benefits of combining Agile and Architecture

Architecture
• Up-front design
• Structural stability
• Standardization
• Stability
• Risk and cost control

Balance
• Shortening the learning cycle
• Just enough anticipation
• Decentral if possible, standards if necessary
• Architectural design with a short feedback loop
• Based on business rationale and not on dogma

Agile
• Experimentation
• Business features
• Local optimization
• Flexibility
• Quick business value
Technical Debt
Key Architectural Concern based on financial metaphor

Cost

- Interest: increased cost of maintenance due to debt
- Principal: cost of future work to eliminate debt

Risk

- Technical Debt accumulates until Solution breaks down
Technical Debt

Types

Test debt

Architectural debt
- structural debt
  - introduced by choices of architect
- technology gaps
  - known up front or emerging

Implementation debt
- low internal quality
- code complexity
- code smells
- coding style violations

Documentation debt

Code analysis tools (e.g., SONARQube) only find this type of technical debt!
Technical Debt

Examples

Business critical solution runs on AS400 platform no longer supported *(technology gap)*
- principal: cost of migration
- interest: expensive maintenance, additional cost of changes
  - risk exposure: increased probability + impact of failure

Developer duplicates code to make release deadline *(low internal quality)*
- principal: cost of refactoring
- interest: double maintenance
  - Risk exposure: duplicate bugs remain

Bypass ESB to obtain data directly from other system *(architectural debt)*
- no time to expose data through ESB
- miss delivery deadline ←→ violate enterprise architecture
- principal? interest?
Structural Technical Debt example

Architectural decision:
Apps communicate over ESB

Take on technical debt:
A contacts B directly

Repay technical debt:
refactor A & B
Technical Debt
What’s in your backlog?

Debt remediation in product backlog:

• “Under the hood” improvement
• Not directly visible to end-users (but to architects, delivery, operations team)
• As long as the remediation is not done, stakeholders pay some kind of interest (lower velocity, higher risk, …)
Balancing your backlog in Scrum

Stakeholders

Solution Backlog

Sprint Backlog

Architectural decisions

Architectural Runway

Technical Debt

Architecture Microcycle

Daily

Sprint

Solution Increment

Risk and Cost Driven Architecture
Technical Debt Control
Step 1: Identify Technical Debt

Schedule pressure

Carelessness, ignorance

Reckless
“We don’t have time for that.”

Prudent
“We must ship now and deal with consequences”

Deliberate

Inadvertent

“Now we know how we should have done it”

“What was I thinking?”

• Minimize interest
• Execute as if 1st class choice
• May be permanent

• Minimize interest
• Refactor if economic sense

Source: Martin Fowler
Technical Debt Control
Step 2: Quantify in Business Terms

Determine cost
• Principal: one-time cost of removing debt
  • migration, refactoring,…
• Interest: increased recurring cost
  • less efficient modifications, more testing, more expensive h/w,…
  • interest stops when principal repaid

Determine risk
• higher probability of failure (not fulfilling requirements, esp. NFRs)
• higher impact of failure (more expensive to fix)
Why Technical Debt Ambushes Us

Over time, technical debt risk tends to grow:

- **Probability** of failure increases due to e.g. overlooking old shortcuts, aging technology
- **Impact** of failure increases due to growing system size & complexity

If probability and impact grow linearly, risk exposure grows parabolically
Technical Debt Control
Step 3: Manage Technical Debt Explicitly

Use Architectural Concern & Decision Register
• all technical debt → Architectural Concern Register
• deliberate technical debt → Architectural Decision Register

Make Technical Debt visible as business risk
• Put on risk register
• Find business owner(s) who feel the pain of the risk (and can do something about it)

Consider putting Technical Debt on balance sheet
• deduct remaining technical debt from project result/product value
• take away incentive for project managers to incur debt
• fairer starting position for maintenance team
Technical Debt Control
Balance your backlog – reserve capacity for enablers

Reserve 20% of each sprint for enablers?
- …but when do the bigger enablers get done?

Reserve 1 in 4 sprints for enablers!
- Great for expectation management
- Tip: rotate enabler sprint duty among teams (great for collaboration)
A Simple Business Case for Debt Reduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced recurrent maintenance cost</td>
<td>M/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced risk exposure</td>
<td>R/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total benefits per year</td>
<td>M+R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal: effort of migration/refactoring/…</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity cost (delayed features)</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>P+F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL RETURN ON INVESTMENT (1 YEAR)</strong></td>
<td>(M+R) – (P+F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opportunity Cost of Technical Debt Reduction
Cost of delayed value delivery

Not repaying debt

Repaying debt

Opportunity cost
A Simple Business Case for Debt Reduction

- Reduced recurrent maintenance cost
- Reduced risk exposure

Over time, risk exposure typically dominates

Opportunity cost is usually very time sensitive

Cost of delayed features
Principal (refactoring)

Benefits
Cost
Technical Debt Control
Example business case

Your travel booking system’s front-end uses an old web-app platform called Comanche 2.0 that does not support encrypted communication (SSL / https protocol). This violates European privacy law.

- Upgrading to Comanche 3.0 is estimated to cost 2 sprints (1 month), €32K labor and €10K hardware upgrades [principal]
- Comanche 3.0 has some functionality that will make your team of 4 DEVs 10% more productive [interest – maintenance cost]
- Not supporting SSL runs the risk of a substantial fine, estimated at €500K with a 10% annual probability [interest – risk exposure]
- Product management estimates that delaying their must-have feature delivery by 1 month will cost 2% market share, which translates to €20K [opportunity cost]
### Technical Debt Control

#### Example business case

**Maintenance cost reductions**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10% productivity</td>
<td>40K/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>40K/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Opportunity cost**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Must-have feature</td>
<td>20K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>20K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk scenarios**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>p (%)</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Privacy regulation violation fine</td>
<td>10/yr</td>
<td>500K</td>
<td>50K/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50K/yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Principal**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Upgrade (2 sprints)</td>
<td>32K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extra Hardware</td>
<td>10K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>42K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk exposure**

Risk Scenario | Probability (p) | Impact | Exposure |
---|-----------------|--------|----------|
Privacy violation fine | 10/yr | 500K | 50K/yr |
| 2 |                 |        |          |
| 3 |                 |        |          |
| **Total** |                 |        | 50K/yr |

**Opportunity cost**

1. Must-have feature: 20K
2. Total: 20K

**Principal**

1. Upgrade (2 sprints): 32K
2. Extra Hardware: 10K
3. Total: 42K

**Risk scenarios**

1. Privacy regulation violation fine: 10/yr, 500K, 50K/yr
2. Total: 50K/yr

**Opportunity cost**

1. Total: 20K
Technical Debt Control
Example business case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced recurrent maintenance cost</td>
<td>M 40K/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced risk exposure</td>
<td>R 50K/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total benefits per year</td>
<td>M+R 90K/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal: effort of migration/refactoring/…</td>
<td>P 42K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity cost (delayed features)</td>
<td>F 20K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost</td>
<td>P+F 62K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total return on investment (1 year)</td>
<td>28K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total return on investment (2 years)</td>
<td>118K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Architecture Roadmapping

Just Enough Anticipation
Net present value (NPV) is the difference between the present value of cash inflows and the present value of cash outflows over a period of time.

NPV is used in capital budgeting and investment planning to analyze the profitability of a projected investment or project.

\[ \text{NPV} (P_1) = -2M + 0.5 \times 4M + 0.5 \times 1M = 0.5M \]

Source: Kevin Sullivan
Architecture Roadmapping
Economic impact: real options & NPV (2)

NPV ($P_2$) = -1M + 0.5x3M + 0.5x1M = 1M

Taking Technical Debt has increased system value.

Source: Kevin Sullivan
Architecture Roadmapping
Economic impact: real options & NPV (3)

NPV ($P_3$) = $-1M + 0.67 \times 2.5M + 0.33 \times 1M = 1M$

More realistically:
Debt + interest
High chances of success: beat competition, early user feedback

Source: Kevin Sullivan
Architecture Roadmapping
Identify external architectural events

Events that influence risk, cost and value of improvement items, e.g.:
• competitor’s release plans
• legislation into effect
• expiration of licences, warranty, support
• new release of COTS component
• change in vendor pricing strategy
• quality threshold exceeded due to technical debt
Architecture Roadmapping
Create and compare release paths

Assign solution improvement items to releases based on
• Dependency analysis
• Real option value
• Technical debt control

Reserve capacity for agility
Architecture Roadmapping
Release strategy 1: value-first

- In line with Agile philosophy
- May increase TCO (more refactoring)
- Too “greedy” algorithm may run project into wall (complete rebuild)
- Good in volatile environments

Manage stakeholder expectations about the evolution of this slope!
Architecture Roadmapping

Release strategy 2: architecture-first

- In line with plan-driven philosophy
- Late delivery of value → risk of cancellation
- Risk of building wrong architecture (if context changes)
- Good for complex solutions
Architecture Roadmapping
Real-life experiences

Significant benefits observed

• Improved (more realistic) stakeholder expectations
• Better prioritization of required architectural improvements
• Helps architects articulate business impact of roadmapping scenarios
• Helps architects discuss timing of architectural improvements
  • based on business impact rather than generic (dogmatic) “rules” like YAGNI

Image: Transavia, Rik Farenhorst
Summary

• Excessive technical debt is often a sign of an imbalanced architecture function, leading to an unsustainable pace of development.

• Risk and opportunity cost usually dominate the business case for technical debt reduction.

• The key to long-term technical debt control is timely involvement of business stakeholders in achieving just enough anticipation.

The term technical debt is misleading: this is a serious business concern that requires continuous leadership attention.
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